Application Number



Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination
10/596,952	MEKIDECHE, NICOLE
Examiner	Art Unit
Heather Anderson	1655

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,952	01/16/2007	Nicole Mekideche	1217-0177PUS1	2854
	7590 10/12/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH WA 22040 0747	ANDERSON, HEATHER L		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1655	
•				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/12/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

, ,		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/596,952		MEKIDECHE, NICOLE	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Heather Ande	rson	1655	
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTOR' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later th earned patent term adjustment. See 3:	ROM THE MAILING DA der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. In the maximum statutory period we and period for reply will, by statute, an three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS (36(a). In no event, h will apply and will exp , cause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be timulation of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the tobecome ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is closed in accordance w 	2b)☐ This in condition for allowan	action is non-lace except for	formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			•		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are a 6) □ Claim(s) is/are o 7) □ Claim(s) is/are o 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subjective.	s) is/are withdraw flowed. ejected. bjected to.	wn from consid			·
Application Papers			•		
9) The specification is obje 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request Replacement drawing she 11) The oath or declaration	is/are: a) acce that any objection to the d et(s) including the correcti	epted or b) () of drawing(s) be he did not be did not	eld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
2.☐ Certified copies of the c	☐ None of: If the priority documents If the priority documents Itified copies of the prior he International Bureau	s have been re s have been re rity documents u (PCT Rule 17	eceived. eceived in Application have been receive 7.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)		.		(DTD 445)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-8 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date	wing Review (PTO-948)	5) (Interview Summary of Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/596,952

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 10-42, drawn to a topical composition comprising at least one lyophilisate of dedifferentiated plant cells in a base.

Group II, claim(s) 1-9, drawn to a method for depigmenting or lightening the epidermis with a protective or regenerative effect.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

According to PCT Rule 13.2, unity of invention exists only when the shared or corresponding technical feature is a contribution over the prior art.

The feature considered to be the corresponding technical feature of both Groups I and II is the topical application of at least one lyophilisate of dedifferentiated plant cells. Cals-Grieson teaches the use of an extract of *Vitis vinifera* obtainable from dedifferentiated plant cells among other sources of plant material (se, e.g., paragraphs [0037]-[0038] and entire document of US 2003/0165589, English translation of WO 01/82887, cited in the IDS). The step of lyophilization of this extract is described in paragraph [0051]. This composition is preferentially applied topically. Therefore, the

Application/Control Number: 10/596,952

Art Unit: 1655

corresponding technical feature is not a contribution over the art, and the claims lack

unity.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather Anderson whose telephone number is (571) 270-3051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30 AM-5:00 PM, ALT. Friday, EST.

Art Unit: 1655

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry KcKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HLA

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE
PRIMARY EXAMINED